FILED  M CLERKS OFF	ICE
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS FEB 10 A 1	i: nn
KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD 02,64 WGY DISTRICT OF MA	
Plaintiff, ) Civil Action No. (1747)	,
v. MAGISTRATE JUDGE ) RECEIPT # 6/996	
ELYNOR WALCOTT and WALLY'S CAFE, INC. ) AMOUNT \$	
d/b/a WALLY'S CAFE ) SUMMONS ISSUED	
Defendants,  Defendants,  MCF ISSUED  BY DPTY: CLK	
COMPLAINT DATE DATE	

Plaintiff, KINOVICION PAY PER VIEW CORP., LTD.., by and through its undersigned attorney, Gary D. Berkowitz, Esq., sues the Defendants ELYNOR WALCOTT and WALLY'S CAFE, INC. d/b/a BREEZEWAYS

## Jurisdiction

- 1. This action arises under Section 705 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 605 and 553.
  - 2. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 3. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) as these claims arose in this district.
- 4. The Plaintiff, KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.. "KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.." is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal office and place of business located in Deerfield Beach, Florida.
- 5. The Defendant, ELYNOR WALCOTT and WALLY'S CAFE, INC. is a Massachusetts corporation authorized to and transacting business as "WALLY'S CAFE"

from its principal place of business located at 428 Mass Avenue, Boston MA 02116.

6. Defendant, Elynor Walcott, is, upon information and belief, owner of WALLY'S CAFE.

## **Preliminary Background**

- 7. KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD. entered into a closed-circuit television license agreement to exhibit the closed-circuit telecast of the March 1, 2003 Championship boxing match between Roy Jones, Jr. V. John Ruiz, from the Thomas & Mack Center I as Vegas Nevada, including undercard or preliminary bouts (the boxing match and all related bouts are collectively referred to as the "Event"), at closed-circuit locations such as theaters, arenas, bars, clubs, lounges, restaurants and the like throughout Massachusetts (the "License Agreement"). KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP LTD paid substantial fees for its license
- 8. **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.** entered into the License Agreement for the purpose of distributing for a commercial gain the closed-circuit broadcast of the Event to various business establishments throughout Massachusetts.
- The closed-circuit broadcast of the Event was not intended for the use of the general public. In Massachusetts, the closed-circuit broadcast of the Event could only be exhibited in a commercial establishment if said establishment was contractually authorized to do so by **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.**
- 10. Pursuant to the License Agreement, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, **LTD.** marketed and distributed the closed-circuit rights granted to it. **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, **LTD.**, through its agents, contracted with various establishments throughout Massachusetts and granted to such establishments the right to broadcast the

Event in exchange for a fee.

- 11. The transmission of the Event was electronically coded or "scrambled". In order for the signal to be received and telecast clearly, it had to be decoded with electronic decoding equipment.
- The transmission of the Event was available to the Defendants to purchase for broadcast in WALLY'S CAFE. Had they done so, they would have been authorized to receive, transmit and publish the Event in WALLY'S CAFE. Defendants did not, however, contract with **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.** or any of its agents, to obtain the rights to broadcast the Event.
- 13. The establishments which contracted with **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.** to broadcast the Event were provided with the electronic decoding capability and/or satellite coordinates necessary to receive the signal of the Event.
- 14 On March 1 2003 in violation of **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, **LTD.**'s rights and federal and state law, the Defendants willfully intercepted and/or received the interstate communication of the Event. In the alternative, the Defendants assisted in the receipt of the interstate communication of the Event. The Defendants then transmitted, divulged and published said communication, or assisted in transmitting, divulging and publishing said communication, to patrons within WALLY'S CAFE.
- The Defendants misappropriated KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.'s licensed exhibition of the Event and infringed upon KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.'s exclusive rights while avoiding proper payment to KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD. Defendants' actions were committed willfully and with the express purpose and intent to secure a commercial advantage and private financial gain.

- 16. The Defendants enabled the patrons within WALLY'S CAFE to view the Event to which neither the Defendants nor the patrons were entitled to do.
- 17. The persons whom Defendants permitted to view the Event would otherwise have been able to view it at a commercial establishment only if said commercial establishment was properly licensed and authorized by **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW**CORP., LTD.
- 18. The Defendants were not authorized to intercept, receive or transmit the communication of the Event or to assist in such actions in any form or at any time.

### COUNTI

## VIOLATION OF 47 U.S.C. §553

- 19. **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.** hereby incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 18 above as if the same were fully set forth and realleged herein.
- 20. Te Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. \$553 (Section 553") provides in relevant part:

No person shall intercept or receive or assist in intercepting or receiving any communications service offered over a cable system, unless specifically authorized to do so by a cable operator or as may otherwise be specifically authorized by law. 47 U.S.C. §553(a)(1).

- 21 The Defendants' wrongful actions in connection with the Event, as described above, were in violation of Section 553.
- 22. Section 553(c)(1) provides that "[a]ny person aggrieved by any violation of subsection (a)(1) of [§ 553] may bring a civil action in a United States district court" to recover damages as described further in § 553(c).
  - 23. KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD. is a person aggrieved by

Defendants' violations of Section 553, and it is therefore authorized to institute this action against the Defendants to recover damages from the Defendants for their violations of Section 553 and their interference with **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.**'s proprietary rights.

- 24. As a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.** is entitled to the statutory damages provided for in Section 553.
- 25. Because of Defendants' wrongful actions, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.** is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for (a) statutory damages in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) pursuant to § 553(c)(3)(A)(ii); (b) statutory damages for willfulness in the amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00), pursuant to § 553(c)(3)(B); and (c) full costs, including reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to § 553(c)(2)(C).

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.**, requests that this Court enter judgment, jointly and severally, against Defendants Elynor Walcott and WALLY'S CAFE, for:

- (\$10,000.00) pursuant to § 553(c)(3)(A)(ii);
- (b) Statutory damages for willfulness in the amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00), pursuant to § 553(c)(3)(B);
- (c) Full costs and expenses of this action, including reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to § 553(c)(2)(C); and
  - (d) Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

#### **COUNT II**

# **VIOLATION OF 47 U.S.C. § 605**

- 26. The Plaintiff hereby incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs

  1 through 25 of the Complaint.
- 27. The Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 605 ("Section 605"), provides in relevant part:
  - ... No person not being authorized by the sender shall intercept any radio communication and divulge or publish the existence, contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such intercepted communication to any person. No person not being entitled thereto shall receive or assist in receiving any interstate or foreign communication by radio and use such communication (or any information therein contained) for his own benefit or for benefit of another not entitled thereto. No person having received any intercepted radio communication or having become acquainted with the contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such communication (or any part thereof) knowing that such communication was intercepted, shall divulge or publish the existence, contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such communication (or any part thereof) or use such communication (or any information therein contained) for his own benefit or for the benefit of another not entitled thereto.
- 28. The Defendants' wrongful actions in connection with the Event, as described above, were in violation of Section 605.
- 29. Section 605(d)(6) provides that "any person with proprietary rights in the intercepted communication . . ." may bring a private cause of action against one who acts in violation of Section 605.
- 30. By virtue of the License Agreement, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, **LTD.** maintained proprietary rights in the intercepted communication of the Event. Therefore, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, **LTD.** is an aggrieved person and is entitled to recover damages from the Defendants for their violations of Section 605 and their interference with **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, **LTD.**'s proprietary rights.
  - 31. As a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts, KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW

CORP., LTD. is entitled to the statutory damages provided for in Section 605.

32. Because of Defendants' wrongful actions, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.** is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for (a) statutory damages in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00), pursuant to § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II); (b) statutory damages in the amount of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00), pursuant to § 605(e)(3)(C)(ii); and (c) full costs, including reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to § 605(e)(3)(B)(iii).

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.**, requests that this Court enter judgment, jointly and severally, against the Defendants Elynor Walcott and WALLY'S CAFE, for:

- (a) Statutory damages in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) pursuant to § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II);
- (b) Statutory damages in the amount of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) pursuant to § 605(e)(3)(C)(ii);
- (c) Full costs and expenses of this action, including attorney's fees pursuant to § 605(e)(3)(B)(iii); and
  - (d) Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 8, 2005

Gary D/Berkowitz, Esq. #/632536

One James Street

Providence, RI 02903

(401) 751-7671 (401) 751-1146

TLE C	OF CASE (	NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EACH S	IDE ONLY)	- W CV FRIED		
		KINGUISIGU.	WALLY'S CAL	THE STARKS OFFICE		
		,	MOON THE MUMBERED NATE	JRE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVE		
ATEG	SORY IN W	HICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED	UPON THE NUMBERED THE	COUS FEB 10 A III OO		
OVER	R SHEET.	(BCE EDONE TOPE TO IT IT IT		2 74 11- 00		
	t.	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS		MS I PLOT LO DOUR		
L	u.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 5 740, 790, 791, 820°, 830°, 840°, 850	50, 555,625, 710, 720, 730, 0, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.	Aled Remoters to 121 or AO 121 for patent, trademarko Copyright case		
<del>_</del>	uı.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 2 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 3 380, 385, 450, 891.	230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371,			
	rv.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 5	610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 900.			
	v.	150, 152, 153.				
TITLE HAS E	E AND NUM BEEN FILE	IBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. D IN THIS DISTRICT PLEASE INDIC.	. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(G)). ATE THE TITLE AND NUMBER	IF MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATED CASE OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS COUR		
		<u> </u>				
HAS A	A PRIOR A	CTION BETWEEN THE SAME PART	IES AND BASED ON THE SAN	IE CLAIM EVERBEEN FILED INTHIS		
COUF						
			YES	(NO		
DOE:	S THE COI	NPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION EST? (SEE 28 USC §2403)		AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE		
			YES			
IF SC	o, is the (	J.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR	EMPLOTEE OF THE GALLET	(NO		
			YES	( '		
10 T		PEOUREDTO RE HEARDAND DET	TERMINED BY A DISTRICT CO	URT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TO		
28 U	HIS CASE ISC <b>§228</b> 4'	)		g. et		
		we.	YES	5 MO		
$\sim$		IE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCL LITH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVE IN? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).		ENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE ESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN T		
	ŕ	•	(YE	S. NO		
	_	IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO	ALL OF THE NON-GOVERN	MENTAL PARTIES RESIDE?		
	A		CENTRAL DIVISION	WESTERN DIVISION		
		EASTERN DIVISION		INTIGES OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDI		
	В.	IF NO, IN WHICH DIVISION DO GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES,	THE MAJORITY OF THE PLA RESIDING IN MASSACHUSE	INTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDI ETTS RESIDE?		
		EASTERN DIVISION	CENTRAL DIVISION	WESTERN DIVISION		
PLFAS	SE TYPE C	OR PRINT)	· / L			
		OR PRINT) WE Carry 13	koute			
ATTOF	RNEY'S NA		St Pur R	1 6 286 3		

(Cover sheet local.wpd - 11/27/00)

JS 44 - ∩7/89)

#### CIVIL COVER SHEET

(H8V. U7/89)	
The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither reprules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	lace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings of other papers as required by law, except as provided by local distance in September 1974, is required for the prepose of initiating the civil docket the prepose of the prepose
I (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS ELYNQRIMALCOTT and  1005 FEWALLY'S CAFE, INC.

STRICT OF MASS. KINGVISION PAY- PER- VIEW, LTD. (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Broward, FL COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)
NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED

(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) GARY D. BERKOWITZ, ESQ. One James Street Providence, RI 02903

tel: (401) 751-7671 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY) (PLACE AN . IN ONE BOX (For Diversity Cases Only) FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) ☐ 1 U.S. Government 🕅 3 Federal Question Plaintiff PTF OEF (U.S. Government Not a Party) PTF DEF Citizen of This State 01 01 Incorporated or Principal Place []4 (}4 ☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity of Business in This State Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Citizen of Another State □ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place (15 (15 Parties in Item (II) of Business in Another State Citizen or Subject of a []3 □3 Foreign Nation []6 :]6 Foreign Country

IV. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE

DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY)

HARTED CTATCO OR THE T COURT

47 USC § 605 (FCC radio interception)

	PERSONAL INJURY	·		BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment  & Enforcement of Judgment	□ 310 Airpfane □ 315 Airpfane Product Liability □ 320 Assauft, Libel & Slander □ 340 Federal Employers Liability □ 340 Manne □ 345 Personal Injury — Med Malpractice □ 365 Personal Injury — Med Malpractice □ 365 Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability □ 340 Manne Product □ 345 Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury — Med Malpractice □ 365 Personal Injury — Med Malpractice □ 366 Personal Injury — Med Malpractice □ 367 Personal Injury — Med Malpractice □ 368 Personal Injury — Med Malpractice □ 368 Personal Injury — Med Malpractice □ 368 Personal Injury — Product Liability □ 368 Personal Injury — Med Malpractice □ 367 Personal Injury — Med Malpractice □ 368 Personal Injury — Med Mal	G10 Agriculture G20 Other Food & Drug G25 Drug Related Seizure of Properly 21 USC 881 G30 Liquor Laws G40 R.R & Truck G50 Arritine Regs	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights	[] 400 State Reapportionment [] 410 Antirust [] 430 Banks and Banking [] 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/e [] 460 Deportation [] 470 Racketer Influenced and	
Student Loans		Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	☐ 660 Occupational Salety/Health ☐ 690 Other	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations [1.810 Selective Service [1.850 Securities/Commodities
(Excl Veterans)	Liability	☐ 370 Other Fraud	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	Exchange
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Strits  190 Other Contract  195 Contract Product Liability	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	<ul> <li>☐ 371 Virith in Lending</li> <li>☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage</li> <li>☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability</li> </ul>	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt Helations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	( ) 875 Customer Chartenge 12 USC 3410 ( ) 891 Agricultural Acts ( ) 892 Economic Stabilization Act
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	Reporting &	FEDERAL TAX SUITS  1 870 Taxes (U.S. Planniff or Defendant) 1 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609	1893 Environmental Matters   1894 Energy Allocation Act   1895 Friedom of Information Act   1900 Appeal of Fee Determinate Under Equal Access to Justice   1950 Constitutionality of State Statutes   1890 Other Statutery Actions
220 Foreclosure	J 441 Voting J 442 Employment J 443 Housing/ Accommodations J 444 Welfare J 440 Other Civil Rights	S10 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus CJ 530 General T 535 Death Penalty T 540 Mandamus & Other T 550 Other	Disclosure Act [] 740 Railway Labor Act [] 790 Other Labor Litigation [] 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act		
VI. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN × IN	ONE BOX ONLY)		Appeal to District
11/1	Removed from (1) 3 State Court	Remanded from [ 4 Appellate Court	Reinstated or 13 5 another Reopened (specific	7 0 14 24 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	E.Z. Judge from ot Magestrate Judgment
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS 13 UNDER FR C P 23	A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND S 100,000	Check YES only I JURY DEMA	f demanded in complaint
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(S) (See instructions	JUDA	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	DOCKET NUMBER	